### For Part II only

**Directions:**
Please critique today’s seminar on the points made in Part II questions (listed on the right-hand side of this page) by filling-in your letter answer for each.

1. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
2. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
3. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
4. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
5. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
6. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
7. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
8. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
9. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
10. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
11. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
12. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
13. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
14. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)
15. (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)

---

### PART I Questions

1. In which MORE Program are you a participant?
   a. MARC  
   b. RISE  
   c. Bridges  
   d. Cancer Collaborative  
   e. LSAMP Bridges

2. What is your class standing?
   a. Freshman  
   b. Sophomore  
   c. Junior  
   d. Senior  
   e. Graduate

3. Was the topic in your field?
   a. yes  
   b. no

### From Question 4 to Question 15, the letters correspond to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. I found useful the ABSTRACT provided by the speaker and posted a week in advance of the seminar on the Biomedical Sciences Seminar Series webpage.

5. I found useful the REFERENCES TO PERTINENT JOURNAL ARTICLES provided by the speaker and posted a week in advance of the seminar in the Biomedical Sciences Seminar Series webpage.

6. The presenter provided sufficient and sound data in support of the hypothesis or any conclusion offered.

7. I have a greater appreciation of the applicability of the experimental approach(es) or techniques used in this seminar to my or other areas of scientific inquiry.

8. I feel confident asking questions during/after the seminar.

9. I asked (a) question(s) of the speaker during after the seminar  
   (a) yes  
   (b) no

10. This seminar helped me become more knowledgeable about the graduate program at the speaker’s institution.

11. I have a greater appreciation of the career opportunities available to a PhD level scientist in the speaker’s discipline area.

12. The seminar speaker presented the material effectively.

13. I understood the material presented.

14. I found the seminar topic to be interesting.

15. Overall, I was very satisfied with the seminar.
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EVALUATION

This evaluation is in two brief parts. At the end of the seminar, please complete all the form and submit it to the MORE Office (BS 160) before the date of the seminar you plan to replace the off-campus seminar.

1. Please explain in a few sentences the hypothesis that was tested in this seminar, or the research question being investigated.

2. What was the main thing you learned from this seminar?

3. If you were to ask the speaker a question, what would it be?

4. If you detected gaps in the data or logical lapses in the research, what were they?

5. What did you like BEST about the seminar? What did you like LEAST?